B & Misc

App. F. IX-4

192

File No. 69-4

Previous References.

Serial Nos. 1 - 4

Later References

Jan 4-28; 5.6.12 & 13

Preserved

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. English

Part B for January 29

SUBJECT

Ag 1 Sy 26-27 with Ch. Administration Report 1926-27 & Adeq Secy

Sirs for recovery of Rs 1004-12-0 from the Govt

B.J. Gandhi
15/1/29

XX 1043
9.4.27.

Gm 161-26-66 4743
Rm 8378

Shimoga.

Dated 8th April 1927.

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esq. B.A.,M.L.,

District Judge, Shimoga Division, S h i m o g a.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Hifhness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Defendant Summons in duplicate in Original Case No. 13 of 1926-27 on the file of this Court, and to request that you will be so good as to retain the duplicate and return the original to this Court with due endorsement of service thereon, on or before the date of hearing: i.e. 13-6-1927.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G Srinivasan

B.S.

DISTRICT JUDGE.

Send
The Case appearing as on 13/6/27.
The summons are prepared for the Chief Secretary's signature.

12/4/27 2) Seen for favour of signature
Chief Secy 12/4

3) 14/4
Issued

4 To the Political Branch for further action

8/4/27

5164

[pp. F. III—2]

General and Reven...
Secretariat.

File No. of 192

Serial No.

Draft Subd —

Sme
16/5

Sme
16/5/27
Appr 16/5

To The Public Prosecutor
Shimoga own
ROP 5920 D 17.5.27
Requests to appear on behalf
of Govt and take necessary action
in the matter
To The Chief Engineer
ROP 5921 D 17-5-27
Rd a Copy of the above
No 203 from the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga
" forwards for approval drafts of written
Statements for approval and for return of
Signature of Chief Secretary 1st defdt
W. Statement

Lawyers
25/5

Subd for perusal Written Statements have to be
Read
24/6/27 approved. 1st defendant Statement
to be verified and signed by chief Secy.
Read
24/6/27

Chief Sec'y with Compl's for perusal & signature

Sd
25/6

Draft Subd returning the written
Statements and plaint
Read
25/6/27. Issued Copy P. 55/Encls.
d. 25/6/27.

Sd
25/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

5) This relates to the claim of one Sankaya Rao in respect of certain work executed under orders of the P. W. D. Officers to the Sub-ordinate Judges in Kumbhi Court Buildings at Chimaga

6) The Chief Engineer had been served with notice and he referred the matter for opinion (vide linked file) to the Land Dept.

7) After perusing the records in the case the Secretary has been pleased to note in the first leaf of the result of investigation on the lines suggested by he known, an opinion regarding the claim of Sankaya will be given

8) The Chief Engineer has been informed accordingly

9) If approved the Chief Engineer may be requested to expedite investigation and after receipt of the report, the Land Reader may if necessary be instructed

Flag x linked file

NOTE—Contr. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

4743

5

App. F. III—2]

eneral and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

To take necessary further action
submit

Form 19/4/27 (b) Santhoji Rao alias Whose
Notice to pay, the Chief Engineer
had Cancelled the Secy L.D
(Vide linked file) has filed
his suit.

(c) The Chief Engineer may
be asked to expedite the
investigation and report
for the opinion of the
Secy L.D.

Secy L.D) 22/4/27

Vs
8.4.

22/4/27

(d)
Draft submit

Form 21/4/27 (e) June
22/4.

Issued No O 5527 dt 22.4.27
Request the Chief Engineer to
expedite report called for

Remarks on 15.5.27

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

D 01264—GPB—40,000—

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 4742 5164 of 192

Serial No. 14)

Reminder Draft Issued

Draw
3.5.27
Appr
8/5

15) Issue
28
4/5

Reminder
issued No P 5491 dated 5.5.27

No 10806. dated 10.5.27

From

The Chief Engineer in Myore

With reference to this Office letter requesting him to expedite the submission of the report called for States that as much as a brief has been filed a supplementary enquiry would be of no use and requests that in view of the fact that Mr. D. Madhavarao, Asst. Engineer then in charge of the work has been made a respondent party the Public Prosecutor of Shimoga may be instructed to take further action in consultation with the Superintending Engineer

The position in the case has been clearly explained by the Law Secretary in his note dated 31.3.27 Chiefly paras 5 & 12

5164 P 1

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

in the linked file). The Land Secretary has also suggested a departmental investigation owing to the peculiar nature of the case It is possible that the matter could have been settled out of Court if an investigation had been made. The amount is a small one. and the Plaintiff has filed the suit making the Govt & one D. Madhavarao Consulting Engineer defendants

14) The Case has been posted for hearing on 13. 6. 1924 Even in the circumstances the Public Prosecutor & Govt Pleader Bijapur may perhaps be required to take further action after studying the papers filed

A

13/5/24

18) A may be done

(sgd) D

18/5

19) Yes

18/5

28

14/5

(sgd) D

16/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

1500

8

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Letter No 1449 dated 28/9 Copy rec'd from the Chairman, Cochin Improvement Trust Board requesting forwarding for Countersignature the T.A bill of Mr. D Madhavadas, asst Engr for going tour from Shimoga to give instructions to the PPr for drafting the W.S. in O.S. 13. of 26-27 of the Shimoga

The PPr was asked to prepare the W.S. after taking instructions from Mr. Madhavadas. Accordingly he went from Bangalore to Shimoga for the purpose. His T.A bill has now to be Countersigned & sent to Comptroller for audit & payment.

Mng 3.10.38 (S.R.)

3/10

Issued 10/10

Law 1975 Draft sent

Mng 7/10

Issued 7/10

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

O.S. 13 of 26.27
of Ct. Sheriff

[O.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Letter no 508 / dated 8/10 Oct 1928
from the Chairman City Improvement
Trust Bd enclosing for
Countersignature a TA Bill of
Mr. Mallikarjuna Rao

This is proposed to be shown to
the evidence in OS. 13 of Of 26.27.
in which Mr. Mallikarjuna is the
2nd defdt
The TA bill has to be
Countersigned by Secy

MP
15/10/28
Secy)

Secy
16/10

Secy
20/10

Draft to chairman
for his
approval
MP
20/10

MP
20/10

Secy
22/10

Sec
MP
25/10/28
Secy
5/11

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

9

Routine Notes.

To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

From the report of the Executive Engineer it is clear that the claim of the contractor is untenable there being nothing in the records to show that the work done by the Sub-Judge's Court Stenographer was executed by Sarathy Rao. The truth seems to be that the work was done by Nagendra & he was paid in the name of Nagendra. The statement of the Sub-overseer that the Bill was so prepared at the instance of the Assistant Engineer is not substantiated by the latter. Even if the work was done by Sarathy Rao

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

written order to that effect

2) It is for the Contractor to prove that the work executed by him in the absence of any such proof that the Claim is not substantiated

3) If the Contractor fail or neglect to perform the Contract to the satisfaction of the Engineer

See 16/8/97 Am 1893

4) From the letter of the Engineer it appears as if the S. D. O gave oral orders to Sankaraja. But the S.D.O denies. If the S.D.O is

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

4165

G. R. S. III—3]

10

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

is sure to dispose of Santhappa's case when he may amend the filing of the suit

Sec'y LD

6/3

5) The case is a peculiar one

Santhappa alleges that he did the work under the orders of Asst Engineer 2nd Sub. Division & that the amount of Rs 64-12-0 is due to him.

Overseer Govindaraj Mudali supports the version of Santhappa

6) But the Madhava Rao Asst Engineer denies the fact of his having orders to Santhappa to finish the work entrusted to Nagappa & says that Nagappa did the work & was paid Rs. 38/14-0

Nagappa himself further says that he prepared the bill in the name of Nagappa for the work done by Santhappa under instructions of the Madhava Rao

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

ROUTINE NOTES.

To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

8) It is ~~difficult~~ very strange that two officers of the Dept should give two different versions regarding a petty matter involving a few rupees

9) It ~~can~~ will be a serious matter if both these two officers have colluded to ~~draw~~ pass a bill for Rs 38/4/0 in the name of Nagappa for work not done by him

10) It is highly desirable that the head of the Dept should investigate the matter thoroughly to find out ~~which~~ of the version is true, ~~that~~ i.e. whether the version of ~~the~~ Govindan the overseer is true or whether that ~~version~~ of Nagappa & Rao is true

11) ~~As for the~~ The validity of the claim preferred by Santhoji entirely depends on the result of the investigation

Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be signed and slips pasted on this form.

It will not be safe to Gorton & the Asst Contractor Santhoji to leave the matter in the hands of both of them

4.165

11

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

12) If the result of the investigation is known, an opinion regarding the claim of Santhoba will be given

Reply

31.3

13) Despatch Sanad for appl

See 1/4/27

1/4

2/4

Secy LD)

14) appl 2/4

15)

4/4

No 5302 dt 7.4.27

To

The Chief Engineer in Mysore

Re. the claim of Sanjivji Rao States that if the result of investigation is favourable an annuity will be given

(Communicated orally in the Dftr 14.4.27

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Sd.

16/4

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 7/4/27

Signed by

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

confidential

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 5302

To

The Chief Engineer
in Mysore

Sir,

In returning herewith the file of papers received with your No. O.170 89/Revl of 14-3-27 re the claim of contractor Shamboji Rao for Rs 64-12-0 on account of Repairs said to have been done by him to the Sub-Court building at Shimoga I have the honour to observe that the papers

over

disclose a peculiar state
of affairs

The Sub Inspector Ramanarayana
Inclines to support the
version of Shantaji Rao
that the work was
done by the
orders of the Asst Engr,
while the Mathura
Rao the Asst Engr
denies having given
any orders to Shantaji
Rao to execute the work

It is highly desirable
that the head of the Dept.
should investigate all the
matters thoroughly to
find out which of the
versions is true

The validity of the
claim preferred by
Shantaji Rao entirely
depends on the genuineness of
the inscription and

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

it will not be proper
to Court or to Contradict
Shantaya Rao to keep
the matter in the hands
of the ~~Court~~ Court.

It The result of
the investigation is
known an opinion
regarding the claim
of Shantaya Rao will
be given

I have etc

1/4 Secy L.D

1/4/07 for 1/4

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

3

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 5527

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Chief Engineer
Cuddapah

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 22/4/27

Signed by W T S

Sir

I am directed to refer to your office memo No. P 5302 dtd 7.4.24, requesting the submission of a report after holding an investigation on the lines suggested therein regarding the claims of Contractor Sanjeeva Rao, and to state that the said Sanjeeva Rao has instituted a suit in the matter in the

List of enclosures.....

Sent by.....

Examined by.....

over

Court of the Sr judge, Burnaga
and to request that
Sir he ye ten report called for
may be kindly expedited
in order to take necessary
further action

The case has been posted
for hearing on 12.6.1924

Thank etc

V/s
Peon to serve
Law Deptt

Done
21/4/24
Adv 21/4

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

4

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 5/5/27

Signed by M Fel

P 5791 of 22.4.27

List of enclosures.....

Copied by Dayal

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P 5791

To

The Chief Engineer in Myp

Sir,-

I am directed to invite attention to this office No P 5302 dated 7.4.27 requiring the submission of a report after investigation on the claims of Contractor Ramtirath Rao and the subsequent marginary note reminding thereof and to request that the decision of the Government may be kindly expedited

I have etc

K 4/5

for Secretary to Govt

Land Dept

WD 01265--GPB—31,000— 24-12-25

Dft 3.5.27 Appd 8/5

Land Dept

Immediate

5167

WD 1036—GPB—5000—16-12-25

No. 10806/5

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 10th May *1927.*

FROM

JOHN BHORE, ESQ., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to Government, Law Department,
Bangalore.

SIR

With reference to your letter No.P.5527/Law. dated the 22nd April 1927, and subsequent reminder thereto, regarding the claims of contractor Shantoji Rao for Rs.64-12-0, for repairs said to have been done by him to the Munsiff's and Sub-Judge's Courts at Shimoga, I have the honour to inform you that the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle, states that as the party has already filed a suit in the Civil Court, there is no use in making a further departmental enquiry and in such cases, it is the evidence of officers in charge that should be considered and not that of subordinates working under their orders. I agree with him.

2. I also forward herewith letter No.2697 dated the 25th April 1927, together with summons in original received from the Assistant Engineer who was then in charge of the work, requesting that sanction of Government might be obtained to engage the services of Public Prosecutor and Government Pleader of Shimoga to defend him in the case and to request the favour of being furnished with an expression of your opinion in the matter.

3. The report called for on the subject from the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle, has not been received and that officer has been reminded to expedite its submission.

4. As the suit has already been filed, it seems desirable

that ~~intimation~~ instructions may be given to the Public Prosecutor or Government Advocate to work out the case on Government side in consultation with the Superintending Engineer, Executive Engineer and the Assistant Engineer.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

10.5.27

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

HRR.2/9th.

Enclosures 1. Letter No. 2697 dated 25.4.27 from the Md. Com Bhd

2 Letter No 1062 from Govt to Chief Engr

3 Duplicate Summons issued in P.O.S No 13 - 926-27 on the file of the Govt Court Bangr.

4 Copy of Plaint

5. Copy of explanatory letter No 36 dated 16.1.26 from S. Gundu Rao Manager S.I Bd to the J.D.O No I Sub Div. Bangr.

5

Letter No.36 dated 16-1-26 from V.S. Govindaraj Moodr. Sub-Overseer, to the Sub-Division Officer, No.I Sub Division, Shimoga.

With reference to the Executive Engineer's memo No.A1388 dated - - 8-12-25 and Sub Division Officer's No.1141 dated 26-11-25 and memo No. 1013 dated 24-12-25, I beg to bring to your kind consideration that the works of Sub-Judge Court was done by the Contractor S. Nagarajappa and 1st bill paid to him for Rs.6/-only. The remaining work was ordered to do by the oral orders of the then Assistant Engineer, No.I Sub Division for the above work to the Contractor Santoji. He did the same and further beg to state that in the absence of the Contractor Santoji who took advance from Assistant Engineer on the condition of completing the District Treasury Office Improvement Works, the Contractor S. Nagarajappa - told with the then Assistant Engineer that he himself did the remaining works, also, when I informed with the then Assistant Engineer that the remaining work was done by the Contractor Santoji. For which the Contractor S. Nagarajappa assured with the then Assistant Engineer for remaining work done by him on this, the then Assistant Engineer ordered me to prepare bill towards the Contractor S. Nagarajappa before the close of the Official year. I explained in my memo No.25 dated - - 18-11-25.

--:0:--

(True Copy).

Sd/-. M. S. Visvesvaraiya. 10/26
For. Executive Engineer.
Shimoga Division.

True copy

D Ramachandra Rao
Municipal Engineer.
Bangalore City.
3.5.27

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law
File No. 69-27
Serial No. 2

No. P. 5970/Secl. b.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Public Prosecutor
Shimoga via

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

Date of issue 17.5.27

Signed by

I am forwarding herewith the duplicate Summons and plaint served on the Chief Secy to Govt and on D. Madhava Rao, Assistant Engineer Bangalore in C.C. No 13 of 26-27 on the file of the Ist grade ~~Sessions Court~~ Shimoga via by one Panditraji Rao son of Narasappa Contractor, Shimoga. wherein I am directed to request you to kindly appear on behalf of Govt

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

and take all necessary
further action in consultation
with the Superintending Engineer,
Executive Engineer Kurnool, and
the Assistant Engineer in charge of maintenance.

The case has been posted
for hearing on 13.6.27 —

Manager

16/5

Recd. to record
Rangaiah

Inw
16/5/27

Memo:- Dev. 5771/B 17.5.27.
Copy forwarded to the
Chief Engineer in reply
with reference to his Mem. No. 10806
dated 10.5.27

By order

16/5

Seen & noted
Rangaiah

16/5/27

No. 203.

SHIMOGA, 8

Dated 22nd. June. 1927.

Law 69-27-3

From

N. SESHA SASTRI, ESQ., B. A., B. L.,
Public Prosecutor.
SHIMOGA DIVISION.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Law Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Re: O.S. 13/26-27, District Court, Shimoga.

With reference to your letter No. P.5970 dated 17-5-1927, I have the honor to state that I appeared for both the defendants in the above case and the same stands adjourned to 29-6-1927 for filing the Written Statement. As required by Rule 93 of the Rules for the Conduct of the Legal Affairs of Government,,I submit herewith drafts of the Written - Statements for kind approval. A copy of the plaint is also attached for reference. I request you to kindly return the approved drafts early. If you approve of the draft of the first defendant's written statement the same may be kindly got signed and verified by the Chief Secretary and sent to me so as to reach here on or before the 28th instant.

As regards the defence of the second defendant, I shall send the approved draft to him direct and get it signed by him.

The statments are prepared on oral instruction given to me - personally by the 2nd defendant and also from the record of the case sent to me by the Executive Engineer, Shimoga.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Enc.
3

Public Prosecutor,
Shimoga Division.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 69-27

Serial No. 4

No. P. 53/Encl 3

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

Public Prosecutor

Shimoga

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir, Re O.S. 13/28-27 DC Court Shimoga

With reference to your letter No 203 dated 22nd June 1927, forwarding copies of Written Statements for approval I have the honour, to return the same duly approved The draft of the first defendant's written Statement has been signed and verified by the Chief Secretary.

Copy of the Plaint is herewith returned

I have

Date of issue.....25/6/27.....

Signed by.....W. D-L.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Secy to Govt.

Law Department

over

No. 449

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD, MYSORE,

Dated 28th September 1928

FROM

T. G. RAMA IYER, ESQ., B.A.,
Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board,
Mysore.

TO

The Secretary,
to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your No.P.5970 dated 17th May 1927 addressed to the Public Prosecutor Shimoga Division, and with reference to Memo No.P.5971 dated 17th May 1927, on the file of the District Judge, Shimoga Division, (Santhoji Rao VS Government,) copy herewith for ready reference, I have the honour to forward herewith Assistant Engineer Mr. D. Madhava Rao's travelling allowance bill in duplicate for Rs. 43-2-0 for favour of your countersignature and transmission to the Comptroller for audit and payment. I also enclose herein in original a certificate dated 24th September 1928 given to Mr.Madhava Rao by the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Division for having attended his office on 2nd June 1927 to prepare the written statement to be filed on behalf of the Assistant Engineer and Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chairman.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General & Revenue Secretariat.

Dated Bangalore 17th May 1927.

No.P.5970.

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments.

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Shimoga Division,
Shimoga.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the duplicate summons and plaint served on the Chief Secretary to Government and Mr. D. Madhava Rao, Assistant Engineer, Bangalore in O.S.No.13 of 26-27 on the file of the District Judge, Shimoga Division by one Santhoji Rao son of Narasappa contractor, Shimoga town, I am directed to request you to kindly appear on behalf of Government and take all necessary further action in consultation with the Superintending Engineer, Executive Engineer, Shimoga and the Assistant Engineer Mr. D. Madhava Rao.

The case has been posted for hearing on 13th June 1927.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd. B. Nagappa.
Secy. to Govt. Law Department.

Memo No.P.5971 dated Bangalore 17th May1927.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Chief Engineer in Mysore, with reference to his letter No.10806 dated the 10th May 1927.

By order,
Sd. T. Singaravelu,
For Secy. to Govt. Law Department.

No.11402 dated Bangalore 23rd May 1927.

Copies of the foregoing are forwarded to Mr.D.Madhava Rao Assistant Engineer (through the Municipal Commissioner,Bangalore City) for information.

Sd. B.A. Hukkeri,
For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Gl.No.610 Forwarded.
Rd.25-5-27.

Sd. T.Govinda Rao.
24.5.27.

"Copy"

Chairman.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

12

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Leave 62-27

File No.

Serial No. 6

No. F 229 (1)

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

Date of issue 12/10/27

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: 449 dated 28/29 4th September 1928 regarding forwarding the T.A. bills of Mr. D. Madhavarao, Assistant Engineer in connection with O.S 15 of 26.27 in the District Court Shimoga, I have the honour to return the same duly Countersigned -

I have etc

for Secy to Govt
Law Dept

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

No. 508
Rec: three

1704/P/28

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD, MYSORE,

Dated 8th October *192* 8

To

Leave 09-12-27

FROM

T. G. RAMA IYER, ESQ., B.A.,
Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board,
Mysore.

TO

The Secretary,
to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Local & Legislative Departments.

N/12/10 Favr

SIR,

In continuation of this office No.449 dated 29th September 1928, I have the honour to forward herewith Assistant Engineer Mr. D. Madhava Rao's bill in duplicate for Rs. 37-8-0 for favour of your countersignature and transmission to the Comptroller for audit. I also enclose herein in original, a certificate dated 27th September 1928 given to Mr. D. Madhava Rao by the District Judge, Shimoga for having attended his Court on 8th August 1928 to depose to facts within his official knowledge.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chairman.

4

D 01530—GPB—1395—9-2-25

Witness Certificate

Form No. 106, Civil

CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE.

Certified that Mr D. Madhava Rao, B.A. B.E. Assistant Engineer D.P.W. Bangalore City appeared before me as a witness on behalf of Defendants in original Case No 13 1926-27

~~in a Civil / Criminal case~~ for One day~~s from~~ i.e. on 8-8-1928

in his official capacity to depose to facts within his official knowledge ~~and that he has been by me the undermentioned allowances.~~ O.S. 13 1926-27

Rs. a. p. Sanctioned by Government Mr. of Mysore D. Madhava Rao

Travelling allowance ....

Subsistence allowance ....

Total ....

DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT BANGALORE DIVISION

B.P. Krishnamachar

Court of the District Judge

dated 27—9—1928.

District Judge

~~Magistrate~~

MLS

Travelling Allowance Bill of Mr. D. Madhava Rao, B.A., B.E., Assistant Engineer, for the month of August 1928.

Name & designation.	Pay.	Route From — to	Purpose of journey.	Railway fare.	Amount Rs	an	p	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	An as p	5	6		
	Rs.		To depose to facts within					
Mr. D. Madhava Rao, B.A., B.E., Assistant Engineer,	300	Mysore – Shimoga. 7.8.28 – 8.8.28	his official knowledge in G.S. No- 13/26-27	Double 2nd class at Rs. 9-3-0	18	6	-	
Do"	———	Shimoga – Mysore 8.8.28 – 9.8.28	District judge's Court Shimoga.	Do"	18	6	-	
By road from Mysore to city Railway station to Bangalore –		city Railway station and 1+1 = 2 miles at six annas-		city Railway	0	12	0	
				& Tonga Total Rs-	37	8	-	

I certify that I travelled on the Railway by 2nd class -

8th October 1928

D. Madhava Rao
Assistant Engineer -

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.

Section Land
File No. 69
Serial No. 6

C No. 44 /Secr.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Chairman
Mysore city Improvement
Trust Board
Mysore

Date of issue.....23/10/28.....

Signed by Jr. N. Sadhuramayya.....

Sir,

With reference to your
No: 508 dated 8/10 Oct^{r} 1928
regarding the T. A. bill of Mr.
D. Madhavarao, Assistant
Engineer, for journeys made in
Connection with O.S. 13 of 26-27
in the District Court, Shimoga,
I am directed to return the
bill duly Countersigned
herewith

List of enclosures....

Copied by..

Examined by...

For Secy to G
Law D

Dy
29/10

over

Statement showing work sanctioned, executed and paid and those to have been done by Sarthoji Rao.

No.	Items of work.	As per sanctioned estimate.				As executed and paid to Nagarajappa.			
		Unit.	Rate.	Quantity	Cost.	Rate.	Quantity.	Cost.	
1.	Scraping grass.	Syd.	0-0-3	83	1	0-0-3	83	1- 5- 0	
2.	Earthwork excavation for drain.	Cyd.	0-4-0	½		0-4-0	½	0- 2- 0	
3.	Providing new mangalore tiles.	Each.	0-2-6	18	3	0-3-0	8	1- 8- 0	
4.	Pointing with chunnam to roof of side room.	Sqr.	2-8-0	2.28	6	3-0-0	0.76	2- 4- 0	
5.	Pointing with cement to cadapa slabs.	Sqr.	4-0-0	1.00	6	2-8-0	1.52	3-12- 0	
6.	Chunnam borders 6" thick.	Rft.	0-2-0	10	1				
7.	Collecting, stacking and spreading picking and consolidating with gravel complete.	Cyd.	0-11-0	18	12	0-11-0	18	12- 6- 0	
8.	Lead for gravel (2 miles lead)	Cyd.	0-14-0	18	16	0-14-0 (1 5/8 mile lead)	18	15-13- 0	
	Wood oiling.	Sqr.	3-0-0	1.50	5				
	Total.				50				
	Contingencies at 5%				3				
					53				
	Work done in 23-24 as per bill No. 3 dated 12-6-24 and paid for during 24-25							1-2- 0	
		Total.			53			38-4-0	

M. A. Srinivasachari
Executive Engineer. 1/12/24